



WERNICH POLYGRAPH

POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION REPORT | PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL

Date : 03/03/2026.
Client name : Blokker family.
Address : 19 San Marina, Seaward Estate, Ballito.
Invoice : Paid in full.
Email : Chris Jenson.

Subject : Specific Issue- Murder on 9 April 2025.
Test Type

We were commissioned to conduct a **specific issue** test for **the Blokker family**.

The **MGQT** was applied, and a minimum of three examinations were administered on the examinee, in accordance with our standards of practice. During the examination, attention was given to the verbal, as well as non-verbal behavior of the examinees.

Interviews were conducted with the subjects to establish whether they were fit and suitable for polygraph testing. An inquiry into the subject's medical and current well-being was made. The subjects were deemed to be suitable for polygraph testing. The candidate's conditions, medications and current general health are detailed in the report with careful consideration to their privacy and dignity.

Before the process was started the candidate read and signed my consent form. The science of polygraph and the function of the different components was explained until understood. The candidate was made aware that the instruments would be attached to their bodies with minimal discomfort and strict adherence to Covid19 compliant practices.

EXAMINER

Sean Wernich was a member of the SAPS from 1990 to 2004. He had a distinguished career, 8 of those years as a senior detective. From 2004 he became involved with private security and investigations using polygraph. He successfully completed the academic and practical requirements of the Professional Examiner Training Program for Psychophysiological Detection of Deception hosted by Quality Polygraphs in Pretoria. Since 2017 he has been qualified as a professional polygraph examiner, which is accredited by the **American Polygraph Association**; he is also a member of the **South African Professional Polygraph Association and American Polygraph Association**. He has completed his necessary advanced training, and his equipment has been tested in the last 6 months.

The Lafayette LX 4000B digital polygraph system (serial number **172960FE**) in conjunction with a **MGQT** Technique was utilized by the examiner. The Empirical scoring technique was used to affect the examination. 3 tests were conducted on each of the subjects for the purpose of collecting data for scoring.

Question formulation was reviewed with the subjects at length. The subjects clearly understood the questions that we intended to put to them.



NAME OF THE EXAMINEE	: Johan Blokker.
ID NUMBER	: 900215 5109 082.
DATE OF EXAMINATION	: 03/02/2026.
CELL PHONE	: 064 1605 377.

The Blokker family requested me to do a specific issue test on one of their family members who has been arrested for the murder of Luke Edwards. This accused, Johan Blokker, runs a rehabilitation centre for addicts in the Westbrook area of KZN. One of the patients admitted to this **Tetelestai Recovery Centre** named Luke Edwards, was found murdered on 9 April 2025. There are allegations that Mr. Edwards tried to escape the facility and assaulted several staff members during the attempt. His body was found in the property next door to the facility.

Some of these staff members were previously arrested and charged for his murder. Mr. Blokker was arrested last week, allegedly with little or no evidence. The matter appears before court again this Thursday the 5th of March. The Blokker family are desperate to get some clarity on whether their son has anything to hide regarding this murder. Their son maintains his innocence, and they are not aware of any evidence to implicate him. I was asked to provide this clarity.

EXAMINEE DECLARES THE FOLLWING INFORMATION:

Time working for Tetelestai	: 6 months.
Position	: Manager.
Polygraphed before	: One time.
Chronic medication	: No.
Highest standard of education	: Grade 12.
Married	: Yes.
Children	: One.
Driver's license	: Code 08.
Suitability for polygraph	: Yes.

Arrested before	: 10 years ago, for theft.
Criminal record	: No.
Ever dismissed from employment	: Never.
Illegal drugs use	: Addict.

INTERVIEW

The examinee has been staying at the facility for 6 months where he works as a manager, although he admits that he becomes a patient when he relapses.

He knows Luke Edwards who had been staying at the facility for a week. During that week he had been called to assist several times as Luke had been assaulting the staff.

He says he never assaulted Luke, only doing what was necessary to subdue him.

On the night of April 9th, he says he was not even present when the drama occurred. He was at home with his family from about 18:00. At no stage did he leave until the next morning.

The following day he learnt that Luke was dead on the neighbouring property.

He did confirm looking at the body and noticing that Luke had been severely assaulted.

He does not know who murdered Luke but suspects it may be the security guards where Luke's body was found.

He denies that he knows for sure who murdered Luke.

He denies that he was present when Luke was murdered.

He denies that he murdered Luke.

He denies that he had a plan to murder Luke.

The following **4 Relevant** questions is asked of the examinee during the test

NSR- No Significant Reaction

SR- Significant Reaction

INC- Inconclusive

Question 1 DO YOU KNOW FOR SURE WHO MURDERED LUKE EDWARDS?			
Answer	NO	Response	NSR
Question 2 WERE YOU PRESENT WHEN LUKE EDWARDS WAS MURDERED?			
Answer	NO	Response	NSR
Question 3 DID YOU MURDER LUKE EDWARDS?			
Answer	NO	Response	NSR
Question 4 DID YOU HAVE A PLAN TO MURDER LUKE EDWARDS?			
Answer	NO	Response	NSR

DATA INTERPRETATION / OPINION CONCERNING TRUTHFULNESS:

Global analysis of the physiological data revealed that there is sufficient interpretable quality to complete a standardized numerical analysis of the test results. Analysis was performed using the Empirical Scoring System (ESSM).

It is the expert opinion of the forensic psycho physiologist that **Johan Blokker** was **TRUTHFULL** when he answered the Relevant questions asked. because, in accordance with the multinomial theorem, only a **very small percentage of untruthful persons would produce the same score.**

Sean Wernich

Forensic Psychophysiology

sean@wernichpolygraph.co.za

(Submitted Electronically)